

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/511,691	10/14/2004	David Hands	20974YP	9304
MERCK AND	7590 08/11/200 OCO INC	8	EXAM	IINER
P O BOX 200	0		LOEWE, SUN JAE Y	
RAHWAY, N	J 07065-0907		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1626	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/11/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Ī	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/511,691	691 HANDS ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	SUN JAE Y. LOEWE	1626		

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address

IHE	REPLY FILED 10 July 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
1. 🛛	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this
	application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the
	application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
	for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time
	periods:

a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.

a) \(\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\texi}\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{

Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION, See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action, or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailting date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any earmed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70(4).

NOTICE OF APPEAL

W The Notice of Appeal was filed on 10 July 2008. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the
date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a) would dismissaid of the appeal.
Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

AMENDMENTS	3

3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for
appeal; and/or
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the

non-allowable claim(s).

To purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) | will not be entered, or b) | will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed: ____ Claim(s) objected to: ___

Claim(s) rejected: _____.
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: ___

AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).

9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. \(\subseteq \) The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).

13. Other:

/Kamal A Saeed, Ph.D./ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1626 Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The instant claims are prima facie obvious over the entirety of the disclosure contained within US 5,719,147. Applicant's remarks are not persuasive in overcoming the previously made 35 USC 103 rejection.

First, Applicants argue that the present invention provides unexpected results relative to US 5,719,147. However, the instant invention results in 85% yield which is not considered to be statistically significant relative to the prior art yield of 79%. For this reason, the argument of unexpected results is not considered to be persuasive.

Second, notwithstading the argument above, Applicants submit that the reconstruction of the instant claims based on the disclosure of US, 57(9),147 is impermissible intridight as it would not have provided motivation/guidance to perform the instant claims based on the disclosure of US, 57(9),147 is impermissible intridight as it would not have provided motivation/guidance to perform the instant core. 3 of action dated April 11, 2008 8, 9, 2 of first action dated to Cotober 15, 2007), the disclosure of US, 57(9),147 as a whole produce product (le, relevant to practice the claimed process. Schemes 5 and 6 teach the alkylation of the morpholiny intringen to produce product (le, relevant to reaction of instant formula 2 with formula 3). Hundreds of enhodements (for instance #17, 18, 30, 31, 33, 44, 45, ..., 75, 80, etc.) and generic teaching (eg. column 24 1st paragraph) suggest further cyclization of the product from Schemes 5 and 6 to form 5-xoc-1,24-triazolo substituted compound (le, relevant to instant cyclization of 4 to produce 1). The totality of the enhodiments the limitations referenced by Applicant on pg. 9 of the response dated July 10, 2008. For example, Example 17 (column 75) teaches use of DMF, anhydrous potassium carbonate. Example 45 (column 89 teaches drying and further hetaining at 140-150 (by refluxing) relevance). Furthermore, limitations that are not expressly taught are within the level of ordinary skill (ie. using a different starting material, example salt, to perform a known process.)

In view of the discussion above, and the guidelines of MPEP 2143.E, the 35 USC 103 rejection is still deemed to be proper. Applicant's remarks are not persuasive in overcoming the rejection, and do not simplify issues for appeal. The response will therefore not be entered.